

# **FLOODING IN THE ELK VALLEY** RISKS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

AN ELK RIVER ALLIANCE PRESENTATION





## **Geological** history Ktunaxa history

#### GLACIAL LAKE ELK





Geological history

#### Ktunaxa history



**Geological history** Ktunaxa history 1916, June 19 1948, May 25 1954, May 20 1974, January 13 1995 2013 2021



**Geological history** Ktunaxa history 1916 1948 1954 1974 1995, June 7 2013 2021



Geological history Ktunaxa history 1916 1948 1954 1974 1995 2013, June 21 2021



Geological history Ktunaxa history 1916 1948 1954 1974 1995 2013 2021, Nov 17









### PRECIPITATION AND SNOWMELT







2013 flood was a combination of snow melt and high precipitation





### **FLOOD DAMAGE= WATER AMOUNT x WATER SPEED**



### **FLOOD DAMAGE= WATER AMOUNT x WATER SPEED**



#### **BUILDING ON A FLOODPLAIN**











#### **Stream Channelisation**



**Okanagan River, Osoyoos** 

#### **Stream Channelisation**



Channelization decreases habitat diversity and increases flood impacts downstream.



#### **Vegetation Removal**



Tree trunks and shrubs slow down floodwaters

#### **Vegetation Removal**





#### **Before Flood**



#### A few days after flood





#### A few years after flood













#### **Climate Change**



More extreme precipitation

**Faster Snowmelt** 







#### **FLOOD PROTECTION STRUCTURES**



UPSTREAM FLOOD SEQUESTRATION

#### PLANNING

### **PLANNING**



### **FLOOD PROTECTION STRUCTURES**

#### Dikes: raised banks to prevent overflow from river



#### Pros

- Effective protection of property
- Rapid construction

- Expensive
- Degraded animal habitat
- Channelizes stream
- Results in more intense downstream flooding

### **FLOOD PROTECTION STRUCTURES**

### Rip rap: river banks reinforced with boulders, or synthetic material



#### Pros

- Effective bank stabilization
- Rapid construction

- Expensive
- Highly degraded habitat
- Channelizes stream
- Results in more intense downstream flooding

### **FLOOD PROTECTION STRUCTURES**

#### Vegetated rip rap: rip rap interspersed with plants



#### Pros

- Effective property protection
- Slowing of flood waters
- Some recovery of habitat values

- More labour intensive than rip rap
- Channelizes stream

### **FLOOD PROTECTION STRUCTURES**

### **Flood retention ponds**



### **UPSTREAM FLOOD SEQUESTRATION**

#### **Riparian area revegetation**



SKC Farm, Morrissey Meadows NCC Conservation Area

#### Pros

- Provides aquatic and terrestrial habitat
- Relatively cheap
- Longterm
- Decreases downstream impacts
- Property protection

- Takes time
- Not a good flood solution for urban centers

Black cottonwood Populus trichocarpa



Cottonwood trees are first to establish post disturbance in floodplains

Saplings grow into mature stands

Deciduous forest gives way to coniferous forest

N. A. F. R.

Cottonwood saplings establish on gravel bar



## PART 1 | COTTONWOOD SURVEY



- 1. Examine where most cottonwood loss happened
- 2. Determine where restoration is needed
- **3. Reach out to landowners about restoration potential** (76% of restoration potential properties are on private land)

### PART 2 | COTTONWOOD RESTORATION

Columbia Basin

- **1.** Planting cottonwood trees in restoration areas
- 2. Fencing off Elk exclusion zones to prevent grazing early on
- 3. Planting understory plants to enhance habitat

### **BENEFITS**





### THANKS FOR LISTENING!



### **PROJECT FUNDERS**



